UTI/WAT/1#19 LEGAL. C.S. (Rates) No. 96/29. SUBJECT. Water Authority. 192 9. MR. W: J. HUTCHINSON COMPLAINS 22nd Feb. REGARDING WATER RATES. Previous Paper. MINUTES. Minute from Water Authority. dated 22nd Feb. 1929. 1 - 2. Y/E Submited C.J. Jover 27/2/29 Hunc.S. agree to the reduction as per par 3 water Autority's minute, but make it water it is a special care. ~ precial ca 2729 A.N Bater authority approved as a special case Governing 201/29 Her bol: becretary: 14 totherson has been informed. Ar Hutchenson has been informed. G. Roberts. Subsequent Paper. G. Roberts. Water authority P. P. alstia

Part Manley. Jeb. 21 - 1928 The bolonial Engineer. With reference to the account dated 5th February 1929. re Water Mater. I wish to at why has my water rate noe from the rate of 261- per year to \$\$2-10-0 as charged for this year. Last Last year from may until the End of the year 1928 paid 14/4 According to the tocal rates all my property is valued at \$50. per year Including sheds, one of those shedo alone is valued at \$10 her year, ho doubt I have improved on my property since last year, but in my chincon not that much, to bring the water supply up to 22-10-0. N am You humble Servant W. J. Hutchinson.

FALKLAND ISLANDS.

C.S. No.....

(2

MINUTE PAPER.

Departmental Nu	amber. 6361 131 137 137 137 137 137 137 137 137 13	FromWater Authority
Date	ebruary, 1929.	To Hon. Colonial Secretary.
	WATER RATH	<u>ES 1929</u> .
-	Reference Numbers.	
Submitted.		
	Attac	ned letter from Mr. W.J.Hutchinson
regarding the water		rate charged for 1929.
	2. The facts are	briefly:-
	was ±26 for the ±2 for the ±10 for the making a total of on the ±26 for th The new Assessmen all small assessm the whole in lump The assessment on by £12 for 1929 b un to £50. On th	peat shed store shed 238. The water rate was claimed e year 1928. t Board decided to cut out ents for sheds and to amalgamate sums. the property was increased ringing the total rateable value is figure the water rate of has been claimed in accordance Rating Ordinance 1905. as amended
	3. I am of the op	inion that the £2. IO. O claimed
	for the water rate i	s perhaps a little high and I am
	inclined to take the	view that it should not be
2		sed value of a separate store shed
		O year. The peat shed should be
	included as a part o	
		bove for your ruling as to whether
	the water rate shoul	d be reduced in this special case.
		G. Roberts.
		Water Authority.